

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/988,291	11/19/2001	Michael J. Rothman	47004.000180	8456	
21967	7590 10/20/2004		EXAM	INER	
HUNTON &	HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP			AKERS, GEOFFREY R	
	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			PAPER NUMBER	
	1900 K STREET, N.W.			FAFER NUMBER	
SUITE 1200			3625		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summan	09/988,291	ROTHMAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAN INC. DATE of the	Geoffrey Akers	3625
The MAILING DATE of this communicated for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) (- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statul.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rication.  days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thint tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON II, by statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed  by (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  SANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice</li> </ol>	)⊠ This action is non-final. r allowance except for formal matt	
Disposition of Claims		•
4)  Claim(s) <u>59-61,63-75,77-87,89-97 and</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) <u>59-61,63-75,77-87,89-97 and</u> 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.  1 99-131 is/are rejected.	cation.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	a) accepted or b) objected to long on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyangle correction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in A the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/31/04.</li> </ol>	)-948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

Application/Control Number: 09/988,291 Page 2

Art Unit: 3625

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Request for Continued Examination(RCE)

- 1. This action is issued in reply to applicant's Request for Continued Examination filed 8/10/04.
- 2. New claims 119-131 were added.
- 3. Claims 59-61,63-75,77-87,89-97,99-131 are pending.

# Double Patenting

4. Claims 59-61,63-75,77-87,89-97,99-131 are rejected under double patenting as they conflict with claims 1-58 of US Patent No: 6,505,168 to Rothman dated 1/7/03. Although not identical, the claims are sufficiently similar to cause a conflict for patentability.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 59-61,63-75,77-87,89-97,99-131 are rejected under Deaton(US Pat. No: 6,424,949) in view of Day(US Pat. No: 6,484,146).

6.As per claims 59-61,63-75,77-87,89-97,99-131 Deaton teaches a computer system for storing and manipulating merchant level customer purchase information received from a plurality of sources including a terminal(Abstract)(Fig 2A)(Fig 4A-1/4)(Fig 14A)(Fig 15B)(Fig 26)(col 4 line 62-col 5 line 27) where the computer system has a storage device for storing customer purchase information(Abstract)(Fig 2B)(Fig 3) at the merchant level(Abstract)(Fig 2A)(Fig 4A-1/4)(Fig 14A)(Fig 15B)(Fig 26)(col 4 line 62-col 5 line 27) consisting of receiving customer purchase information(col 4 line 51-col 5 line 43) at the merchant level(Abstract)(Fig 2A)(Fig 2A)(Fig 26)(col 4 line 62-col 5 line 27).

Application/Control Number: 09/988,291

Art Unit: 3625

In addition to that taught by Deaton, Day teaches collecting purchasing information concerning customers(Abstract) at the merchant level(Abstract)(col 5 line 44-col 6 line 56)(col 3 lines 19-40). Day further teaches a computer implemented apparatus consisting of a wide area network(Fig 1/18) and store processors (Fig 1/12) at retail outlets(Fig 1/14). Day further teaches that each computer includes a database containing customer account information and information about purchases made by customers(col 3 lines 25-40). Day teaches the system consists of a supervisory computer networked to the store level computers by a WAN as well as TCP/IP communications(col 3 lines 40-45). Day also teaches targeting parameters used by manufacturers in making offers(col 3 line 67-col 4 line 16). Day also teaches characterizing customers based on purchase history(col 4 lines 17-31) as well as parameters describing customers as loyal(col 4 line 24) and switchers(col 4 line 18). Day teaches a plurality of cards having machine readable information for associating the card with particular customers and customer account(col 4 lines 32-48). Day teaches special offers that are restricted to quantity and limits(and consequent dollar limits)(col 6 lines 57-64). Day further teaches maintaining a running total of savings realized by a customer's purchases(col 17 lines 14-19). Day teaches targeted special offers((col 7 lines 20-col 8 line 30) as well as presenting repurchasing cycles determining special offers(col 8 lines 31-36). Day teaches automatically increasing the value of special offers(col 8 lines 65-66) and status of promotions in time(col 9 lines 15-36) and household activity data(dollar volumes)(col 11 lines 53-58) and quantity limitations(Figs 12-14)(col 14 lines 52-64). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the

Art Unit: 3625

time of the invention to combine Deaton in view of Day to teach the disclosure. The motivation to combine is to teach an e-commerce customer promotion system based on customer shopping histories for targeted marketing as enunciated by Day(col 3 lines 19-22).

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE NON-FINAL.

9. Any questions concerning this communication should be addressed to the primary examiner of record, Dr. Geoffrey Akers, P.E., who can be reached between 6:30 AM and 5:00 PM Monday through Friday at 703-306-5844. If attempts to contact the primary examiner are unsuccessful, the primary examiner's superior, Mrs. Wynn Coggins, SPE, may be telephoned at (703)-308-1344.

10/15/04

October 15,2004

DR. GEOFFREY R. AKERS, P.E. PRIMARY EXAMINER